

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/751,437 | 01/06/2004 | Wen Li | M4065.0271/P271-A 3920 | |
| 24998 | 7590 05/25/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP | | | CAO, CHUN | |
| | 2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2115 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/751,437 | LI ET AL. | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | Chun Cao | 2115 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | I | | | |
| A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>67-92</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>67-92</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/751,437

Art Unit: 2115

FINAL REJECTION

Page 2

1. Claims 67-92 are presented for examination.

2. The text of those applicable section of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.

- 3. The rejections are respectfully maintained and reproduced infra for applicant's convenience.
- 4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claimts) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claimls). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQZd 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998), In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQZd 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 67-92 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6, 1 1,16, 26, 33, 34, 42, 49, 52, and 59-60 of U.S. Patent No. 6,691,214 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in view of the "obviousness-type" double patenting rationale enunciated in Georgia Pacific Corp v United States Gypsum Co., 52 USPQZd 1590, U.S. Court of Appeals Federal Circuit 199, application claims 67-92 merely define an obvious variation of the invention claimed in US patent 6,691,214 B1.

After analyzing the language of the claims, it is clear that claim 67, 73, 79, and 86 of the application is merely a subset of claim 1, 16, 33, and 49, respectively of the '214 B1 patent, and is an obvious variation of claim 1 of the '214 B1 patent. The method, system, device, and processor of claim 67 of the instant application is a SUBSET of the method, system, device and processor of claims 1, 16, 33, and 49, respectively of the '214 B1 patent.

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/28/06, which have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,437

Art Unit: 2115

7. In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that Li (U.S. Patent No. 6,691,214 B1) does not disclose this limitation, such as "positioning a clock transition of a clock signal at one edge of a data eye".

Page 4

- 8. As to this point, Li discloses the limitation "positioning a clock transition of a clock signal at one edge of a data eye" in claim 1 of U.S. patent No. 6,691,214 B1 [see col. 9, lines 26-30].
- 9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun Cao whose telephone number is 571-272-3664. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/751,437 Page 5

Art Unit: 2115

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 23, 2006

CHUNCAO PRIMARY EXAMINER

dup